

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 4		
2. MODIFICATION NO.: 0013		3. EFFECTIVE DATE AUG 31, 2005		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. W81W3G-2035-7181		PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY Department of the Army Baltimore District, Corps of Engineers Contracting Division P.O. Box 1715 Baltimore MD 21203-1715		CODE CA31		7. ADMINISTERED BY: Contracting Division, Contracts Branch CENAB-CT-C 10 S. Howard ST. Room 7000 Baltimore, MD 21203-1715		CODE E1P0100	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)				(x)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W912DR-05-R-0035	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) APRIL 28, 2005	
						10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

	The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is not extended.
	DATE OF RECEIPT OF PROPOSALS 4:00 PM, LOCAL TIME, OCT 20, 2005

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS,
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER No. ITEM 10A
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR43.103(b)
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF: changes clause FAR 52.243.1
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

THIS AMENDMENT IS ONLY FOR THE CONTRACTORS WHO HAVE ADVANCED TO PHASE 2 OF THIS SOLICITATION.

**DESIGN-BUILD JOINT MEDICAL LOGISTICS CENTER
FORT DETRICK, MARYLAND**

SEE THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	

AMENDMENT NO. 0013 TO ADVERTISED RFP W912DR-05-R-0035
EFFECTIVE AUG 31, 2005

GENERAL:

1) Minutes of Meeting: Attached are the Pre-Solicitation Conference Minutes for informational purposes only.

AMENDMENTS:

2) Amendment 0004, Item 3, Section 01011, Chapter 1 General Requirements, Paragraph 1.5 Betterment: Delete square footages for O2A Offices and O2B Offices (100 and 150 SF respectively) and substitute "Per Program for Design."

3) Amendment 0004, Item 3, Section 01510, Paragraph 1.7: Delete this paragraph in its entirety and substitute the following:

“1.7 GOVERNMENT FIELD OFFICE

1.7.1 Resident Engineer's Office

a The Contractor shall provide the Government Resident Engineer with a completely separate office, approximately 600 square feet in floor area, located where directed, containing two equal sized offices, one conference/plan room separating the two offices, with separate exterior doors for ingress and egress with locks, with space heat, air conditioning, electric light and power, toilet facilities consisting of one (1) lavatory and one (1) water closet complete with connections to water and sewer mains. Toilet paper supplies including tissue and hand-drying paper shall be provided on a monthly basis. Liquid hand-washing soap shall be provided on a monthly basis. A mail slot shall be provided in the door, or an apartment-type lockable mail box mounted on the surface of the door. The Contractor shall provide one (1) small refrigerator and one (1) microwave oven for government personnel use. A water cooler shall be installed in the trailer with supplier water provided on an as-needed basis. At completion of the project, the office shall remain the property of the Contractor and shall be removed from the site. All utility connections shall be connected and disconnected in accordance with local codes and to the satisfaction of the Contracting Officer. If a window style air conditioner is used then the refrigerant shall be one of the fluorocarbon gases that is in accordance with FS A-A-58060 and has an Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP) of less than or equal to 0.05.

b. Government office or construction trailer shall have skirting and steps constructed with handrails to each of the doors. Outside lighting shall be provided. All windows of the government office or trailer shall have either curtains or blinds. All windows and doors shall be equipped with a locking device. The Contractor will provide appropriate tie downs and grounding as required.

AMENDMENT NO. 0013 TO ADVERTISED RFP W912DR-05-R-0035
EFFECTIVE AUG 31, 2005

1.7.1.1 Equipment

1.7.1.1.1 Each Office

The following equipment for each office shall be furnished, installed, and maintained by the Contractor:

- a. One desk with rolling chair.
- b. Two filing cabinets.
- c. Two phone lines with phone and one high-speed DSL or broadband internet connection.

1.7.1.1.2 Conference/Plan Room

The following equipment for the conference/plan room shall be furnished, installed, and maintained by the Contractor:

- a. Two phone lines with phone and one high-speed DSL or broadband internet connection.
- b. Plan table, stool and plan racks.
- c. Storage closet with coat rod and shelf.
- d. Wall-mounted bulletin board.
- e. Conference table suitable for eight (8) chairs.
- f. Eight (8) conference table chairs.
- g. Two (2) additional desks and chairs shall be provided and located as directed.
- h. One (1) copier with automatic document feeder, shredder, etc. Copy paper provided as needed.
- i. One (1) fax machine with a separate fax line.

1.7.1.2 General

Waste baskets shall be provided in every room. Cleaning service and trash removal shall be provided as needed and requested. Floor mats shall be provided where needed. The Contractor shall provide a gravel pavement as needed leading to the government trailer to minimize the tracking of mud into the trailer by personnel. Graveled areas for parking shall be made available as directed. Utilities shall be paid for by the Contractor.

1.7.1.3 Data Communications Physical Wiring

- a. Contractor shall furnish centrally terminated LAN data communications wiring for the facility (CAT5 minimum). Facility will provision data connections for 4 field personnel, a shared network printer and 2 data connections in the conference room for transient users.

AMENDMENT NO. 0013 TO ADVERTISED RFP W912DR-05-R-0035
EFFECTIVE AUG 31, 2005

b. The Contractor shall also furnish an 8 port 10/100Mbps Ethernet switch for the purpose of interconnecting the local LAN data communications wiring at the central wiring termination point. It is the intent of the Government to connect this 8 port switch to another Ethernet Switch to provide ample secure data communication throughout the facility. The Contractor should ensure that the Contractor-provided switch can support an uplink connection from another Ethernet switch. Examples of acceptable devices include switches with a manual MDX/MDI switch, auto sense of roll-over, or UPLINK port.

1.7.1.4 High Speed Data Service

a. The Contractor shall furnish high speed Cable or DSL internet service for the facility during the duration of the contract. The DSL or Cable service MUST be of a type utilizing a STATIC IP Address. The Contractor will furnish the STATIC IP ADDRESS, tech support contact information for the service and circuit identifying information (acct #) to the Government for purposes of configuring the local data communications infrastructure for the facility. The high speed internet service shall terminate at the central wiring point of the local physical LAN wiring.

b. The Contractor shall furnish a CISCO PIX 501 VPN/Firewall (example part no: PIX-501-BUN-K9) or other equivalent CISCO PIX product and/or switch for the duration of the contract. The Contractor should also purchase a CISCO SmartNET maintenance agreement for the PIX for the duration of the contract (example part no: CON-SNT-PIX501-10) to ensure that the unit can be updated or repaired in a timely manner.

c. With the exception of the DSL or cable modem, the Government shall manage the actual configuration of the CISCO PIX and all of the equipment connected to the data ports of the PIX for the duration of the contract. The PIX contains proprietary government information to which the Contractor shall not be allowed access while the contract is in force. At the completion of contract, all Government proprietary information will be removed from the PIX 501 and both the PIX and Ethernet Switch will be returned to the contractor."

4) Amendment No. 0012, Item 2: Attached are the RFI's and responses corresponding to this item which were inadvertently omitted from the amendment.

**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
BALTIMORE DISTRICT, CONSTRUCTION**

SOLICITATION FOR W912DR-05-R-0035

***JOINT MEDICAL LOGISTICS CENTER
USAG FORT DETRICK, MARYLAND***

PRE-SOLICITATION CONFERENCE

Thursday, August 4, 2005

PRE-SOLICITATION CONFERENCE
Thursday, August 4, 2005
Strough Auditorium
Fort Detrick, Maryland

FRANK BENVENGA, PROJECT MANAGER:

Good Morning. This is the Pre-Solicitation Conference for solicitation W912DR-05-R-0035, Joint Medical Logistics Center. What we're going to do is introduce some of the key government employees. We'll discuss some of the administrative items. There will be a project overview, description and what our expectations are for the source-selection step-two process; and then a project site tour. What we are not going to do is entertain any technical questions on the project. All right. So whether we're outside taking a tour of the site I'm going to ask everybody, the Offerors, to refrain from asking any technical questions. The POCs are in solicitation. Give us all your questions in writing. We will evaluate them. We will make a determination as to whether or not an amendment is required or whether we could just go back to that individual company with the response because

of the particular nature of the response of the response. Just to let everybody know the minutes of the meeting here are being recorded and this will be sent out under the cover of an amendment and it's strictly for information only. Let me start off by introducing some of the key players. We have Jerome Rifkin, Jerry Rifkin who is the Contracting Officer. We have Christy Pespitsos who is the Design Team Leader. She is responsible for the technical product, the RFP. There's Mike Ogden who is the Resident Engineer here at the Fort Detrick Residence Office. His office is going to be responsible for contract administration, contract execution, and managing the overall project. We've got CPT Sean Scott who is the Project Engineer. We've got Rick Seufert, up front here, who is the Chairman of the Technical Evaluation Committee under the Step-2 process. We have Mr. Tom Kenny from our center of expertise; he's also in the Corps of Engineers' Medical Facilities. We've got Raymond Rehrer, in the back there, he's from the installation. He's the customer, right there. That guy's the customer. So you get to see the customer one-on-one here today. We've got CPT Ross Davidson who's the Liaison with the Health Facilities Planning

Agency. This is a medical MEDCOM installation up here and Ross's organization is intimately involved in the project. And Ross is their construction liaison. And we have Pat Adams who is the Contract Specialist, and I have Enid Hopke back here who is recording the minutes of the meeting. But what I would like is just one representative from each of the five Offerors just to stand and introduce yourself and who you represent.

INTRODUCTION OF OFFERORS (NOTE: The names of the offerors were inaudible and therefore are not captured in this document)

FRANK BENVENGA, PROJECT MANAGER:

I'm Frank Benvenga. I'm the Project Manager for this project. With that, I'll turn it over to the Contracting Officer, Jerome Rifkin and then Christy will come up and give a project overview and Rick will talk about the source selection process and then we'll take the tour of the project site.

JEROME RIFKIN, CONTRACTING OFFICER:

Thank you and good morning and congratulations to all of you who were down-selected for the 2nd phase of this significant project. The Joint MEDLOG is a component part of an overall program here to support the vital mission of Fort Detrick, which involves the medical

research for infectious diseases and in support of the war fighter. The Joint MEDLOG is instrumental in the total program in that many of the relocation of tenants in certain buildings will be going into this facility and then the remaining footprints or the structures that these occupants have occupied for many years will be demolished to allow for the footprint of the new USADMEDC facilities here at Fort Detrick. So in the source selection process I as Contracting Officer am going to be looking for the industry team that can deliver this project certainly on time and within budget and within the means that the government can facilitate to bring about ultimately the big program prospective. So we're going back and looking at the 1st phase and your technical qualifications, and we will marry that in with your ultimate price proposal to come up with the final source selection. So this is the opportunity to come to me and to Frank and to the rest of the team with your questions and your concerns, your anxiety and comments so we can address them now to ensure that once this project is awarded that we can get this project built and completed and out of the way, so that we can go on with the more programmatic of plans for the major

mission here at Fort Detrick. So it is very vital that we get started timely and we complete timely. I can't stress that enough and I am going to be looking hard and long in my decision for the final source who can bring this project home within the requirement that the government has laid out. I will entertain any basic or general questions regarding the acquisition of the solicitation from you at this time. Any comments. How many amendments have been issue?

CHRISTY PISPITSOS, DESIGN TEAM LEADER:

Eight amendments.

FRANK BENVENGA, PROJECT MANAGER:

Everybody has received eight amendments so far. Anybody who has not received all eight amendments please let us know and as Frank said there will be a ninth amendment that will incorporate the minutes of this meeting. So I wish you all continued success in the final phase of this selection process. And again if you have any question please let us know within rules that Frank has established. Thank you.

CHRISTY PISPITSOS, DESIGN TEAM LEADER:

As Frank said I'm Christy Pispitsos the Design Team Leader. What you're looking at right here is the site plan for our project.) Basically what this does -

this shows all our buildings on our site in the areas that you're use throughout this design process on the RFP. Specifically building 660, 621 and 623 are what will be done under the base contract. 1423 and 1432 which are not shown here are adjacent and actually down the street a little bit of the two that are under the option to be done. Basically the RFP is minimal criteria. You can take a look at it, read it - I don't know if there's much more else to say about it without entertaining questions. It's minimal criteria. I don't know how else to --- Go ahead.

Q: Is the L-shaped area allowable for the 25% expansion?

A: No that's not available for expansion

:The project limits are defined by this solid line. And that's the constraints that we are obligated to work within.

Now this area right here and then you have this line going all the way across here, up here and down and then up there and back down - so that's just for future - there's a future plan we want to make sure you guys are aware of it. That can't be used for future expansion.

We're not going to make contract interpretations. Okay so

This project has been considered as part of the installation's overall master plan.

And the constraints also.

On Post.

FRANK BENVENGA, PROJECT MANAGER:

Storm water management. Both sides of this building are the areas that are defined on the drawings Did anybody have any just general concerns or issues about the amendments that have been issued to date? Again let's not get into the nuts and bolts as what, where, why and how because we're not going to make an interpretation. Understand the requirements for turn over - for phasing of the project ---

Q:I believe this references steam trenches

A:You'll have to read the contract and make that interpretation. All we can say is that the asbestos - the steam line is part of the project.

Q:Can photos of the site be taken?

A:I don't think that would be a problem. What I'm going to do is once everyone's starts to go out there; I'm going to run over to the Provost Marshal just to let him know that there are some people on Post taking pictures. If you pretty much stay within the area there shouldn't be any

problem. 1423 and 1432 if you choose to go over there to take pictures I would try to concentrate on the pictures themselves, none of the surrounding structures because that's a little bit more sensitive area than what's over here right now. But yeah there shouldn't be a problem.

FRANK BENVENGA, PROJECT MANAGER:

Any questions with the Bid Schedule? The base bid and we've got several options on the price schedule. Rick Seufert -- if you think of anything along the course of general questions feel free to ask him.

FRANK BENVENGA, PROJECT MANAGER:

Once again anybody who has anything - you guys have all played before here - if you have a technical question put it in writing and we will look at each and every technical question, make a determination - I've already received a few questions from several of the Offerors, so I would encourage that as probably the best way to go. But please be very specific to what is within the 8 1/2 X 11 and the drawings on that solicitation. Any information and I guess I might as well say this right now - any information that you may solicit on your own outside what is within those documents we can't substantiate - we can't validate - so keep it within those documents and ask the

particular questions. That's the best advice that I could offer on this project. Rick as the Chairman of the Technical Evaluation Committee is going to kind of walk through and give you a flavor for what we expect - you guys have passed the first test here but we'd like to kind of go through this because some of the stuff maybe new and we want to make sure that you understand what our expectations are so that when our team sits down and evaluates your technical and cost proposal we can do it clear, open giving us the best set of documents that you have full well recognizing that under this scenario the government reserves the right to award without discussion. So again the best product that you give us is always going to enhance - you know make it better for us to evaluate.

RICK SEUFERT, CHAIRMAN, TECHNICAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE:

Good morning survivors. We've already votes a bunch of them off of the island and you're left. So we've got four more to vote off of the island so to speak. I want to go over Section 100 of the solicitation. A couple that went through the NDU process we're trying to take the same process. And the process is that we're trying to keep the cost minimal on your side and yet try to find out the information that we need for

our side. We worked it out that we're not looking for a full design or some kind of 35% design to be placed in for the solicitation. What we're looking for is at least the floor plans, elevations, site plan, and the rest of the information is going to be in the form of narratives. What we are not looking for like an electrical, mechanical, structural - that type of thing is calculations and that type of thing - we've looking for you to describe to us what you're going to do - not describe to us exactly how you're going to do it as far as like a mechanical system you're going to have brand x air handling system, model so-and-so. We are not looking for that information, we're looking for the concept that you are going to put in. So we're not looking for you to make this - you're going to have to do some design we know that. But we're not looking for absolute design solutions in this process. If you go through the Section 100 the first thing we're trying to for the best overall value to the government and that's based on the technical submission plus the cost submission. We're going to try to treat roughly both sides technical and cost__ equal - it might be a little bit of weighting one to the other but they would be approximately equal to

each other. And as we done before in the past we made an award to a higher placed bidder based on the technical and the cost together. Now the technical proposal we'll allow you 50-pages. Is that adequate? Does everybody think they can do this in 50-page or less? If now tell us, you know we can if need to we'll boost the number of pages up if you think that's inadequate. We've evaluating based on three factors for the technical proposal --- the first one is diagrams, elevations and site plan; the project narratives and the compliance. And again these factors we're saying they are proximately equal to each other. So we're not weighting one factor higher than the other. What we're looking for if you will look at paragraph 8, the first factor is the diagrams, elevations and site plan. This probably were the user will get the most --- Let's see everybody is going to want to know where's my office going to be? Where is the desk going to be located? And what we want you to do is just tell us how you plan to separate this building out in the RFP. And we'll probably give you all the parameters as to who the users are, what their square footage needs are, and it's for you to interpret that and give that back to us. It's a

similar on NDU. We told everybody what the general needs of the building were and we got back the floor plans showing us how they were going to separate up the building for the different tenants and users. And the same thing with the - it will be submitted in the sit plan, floor plan and elevations. Now the narratives - we're looking for these comprehensive narratives. On the NDU project we just kind of asked for narratives in kind of one big paragraph - we broke it out into this one so that we kind of at least list the kind of narratives we want. Again, we're looking for concepts. We're looking for you to tell us how you're going to design this. How you're going to build this. It's not - you know we're not looking for riser diagrams. We're not looking for electrical line drawings. We're not looking for any of that type of information. We're looking for you to tell us how you're going to do this. You know we realize on our part that putting all of this together is quite expensive and we feel that you can do that in narrative form rather than have to go through and do a whole drafting service to work up drawings. You know we just don't want to have you pinned down to something that may not work in the future as far as a

specific thing but in the general concept we see where you're headed. And mostly everything in 8.2 [00100-5] is a narrative form and you're going to tell us how you're going to do these things. And the last part of this is the compliance [00100-8] and what that really comes down to is that you're going to follow the RFP, if you're not you are going to tell us where you're not and why you're not and then we will look at that. Now there's two things in here - the schedule - The schedule says here that you can do this - you know we're looking for occupancy and we'd like to have it in 900-days but if you're working your schedule and it's going to take up to a 1080 (days) the time frame is somewhere in between there. We don't think anybody can get it done under 900 but we are not going to look favorably if you're going to say it's going take more than 1080-days. And the same thing with square footage. If you think you can put the building in - I think we had a minimum square footage of 108,370 is the minimum that we can go to but the maximum we can go to is 130,243. And that's - you know we can fit that in. Now does it help you to say 900-days and 108,370 square feet? The answer is we have no clue. We have go to see how good it looks. You know does

that give you an edge? Maybe not necessarily. Does it penalize you to go up to 130243 square feet and up to 1080-days? And the answer is we don't know. We have to look at it. And the one thing I've found out doing all of these evaluations is you don't know the answer until you get there. You know I've looked over - I've probably read about eighty evaluations and I never know the answer until it's all done. You know you can't really predict anything. So if you think 1080-days is what you need but you're going to do a quality job there, we may look at that --- key words "may look at that more favorably" than somebody that's going to try to squeeze 900 and it doesn't look like you can really do it in 900. So be realistic is what we're saying. You know have the realistic square footage, the realistic time frame and explain how and why you're going to do this and that will be better than trying to think that smaller will get you a better rating - it may - it may not - I don't know. Anybody have any general questions on this like I said I'm wondering about the 50-pages ---

Yes?

Q:How do you evaluate betterments as part oftheoverall evalaution

A:What we did in the past, we looked at - there's kind of a side bet.

Q: There's a side what?

A:Side bet. You know it's like does that get us - does it add quality to the building?

Q:They kind to have to be designed into the floor plan ____ building, so we have to design the space and then have multiple designs .

A: I don't know the answer to that.

A: I don't blame you. It's going to cost a lot of money.

A:As Rich stated the constraints are minimal area, 108,000 plus square feet, maximum 130,000 square feet.

A:You might find that at 108,000 you're bumping up against the top --

A:We specified within, on the cost limitations - what the cost limitation is. So you've got to take all of those things into consideration of the betterment with regards to the 900-calendar days and 1080, the betterment's with regards to the prioritization of the increase in space and give us your best product that meets all those constraints.

Q: Will the STEP I evaluation be considered as part of STEP

2

A:It's Phase 2 - it's on it's own.

Q: Phase 2 is on it's own.

A: It's on it's own.

Q: When will oral presentation be planned and scheduled?

A: We want to do the oral presentations - I didn't touch on that, but the oral presentations - we're going to go through and do the initial evaluation of all the proposals. And I don't know how long it's going to take - you know it could take a week, two weeks or whatever. When we see about the time frame that we're going to be finished with that then we're going to come in with the oral presentation. The oral presentation is not new information on your part. It's the information you have provided and you can tell us in verbal form and if you want to bring in some props and anything else to help us out. It's - I hate to use the word supplemental but it's going to come in - you're going to explain what you told us and we're going to see what you told us versus what we read and see if it's the same thing or if it enhances it or whatever. So we won't make the final rating of the proposals until after the oral presentations.

Q: When will oral presentations be scheduled?

A: Probably after about two weeks. And then what we'll do is - when we start seeing the light at the end of the

tunnel on our part on the evaluation, we'll get word out to all of the offerors and schedule it out.

Probably at this point we're going doing it in the District at the District Office.

Q: Will you review all

A:

Yes. No matter where you are - we look at the oral presentation - we hope it will help you, that's what we're looking at. That's the part of it. It made a difference on NDU - you know we saw, you can hear and see you know how the offeror has sometimes. It may not quite come through like that on paper and at least when you're listening to it you get a different slant. That's what we're using those for. This is a big project to put together and you know we just hate to see you put it all on paper then we sit in the little magic room and you see the white smoke come up and then we have an answer. This at least gives you a chance to tell us what you're thinking too. And then we go and we have the votes and then we get the ultimate survivor. Then I've got to sit there and explain to the four of you why you didn't get it. And that's when my stomach churns and everything else. Any other questions?

Q: Do we need the furniture

A: Do we need the furniture? No. We'll address that in the amendment.

A: Send that in as a question. Now you're starting -

A: Put that in as a question and we'll get an answer in the amendment.

A: When you send in your questions - chapter. Versus - it makes - just like you guy, there's a lot of information in there, a lot of pages, and we have the same challenge even though we sat there and prepared specifically for particular information. We hope that we've captured everything in there and it's clearly stated. We're not perfect - just like you guys aren't necessarily, so we'll collaborate together and come up with a good product now and then in the final analysis.

A: I hope in the end, again we tried to model this after the NDU project. We got some really good proposals there. It was a very hard decision to make and I hope that the five of you give us a very hard decision to make.

Q: Can you estimate the timeframe for release of the ninth amendment?

A: Within the next week. And let me say a few words about the amendment. The documents that you have right now,

(inaudible) will not change. The amendments that we've been issuing, we've been under significant pressure ourselves to expedite the delivery of this product and to get the RFP out just like you're going to have to turn around and give us proposals back. So there are things that we need to continually refine and that's all it's going to be - it's going to be refinements. There's probably going to be more than one amendment that is going to come out over the next several weeks before proposals are due. And that is based on the types and the extent of questions that we get from each one of the folks here - what's where, what's this and all of that kind of stuff. I will caution you that you thoroughly look through the documents because I don't like the government put in the position - we're not going to make an interpretation. Okay so it's just like doing design bid build - you can see it, interpret it, and bid it the way you see it. If there's a conflict or an ambiguity in there, is it X or Y - we will evaluate that and give you the factually information. So there will be additional amendments. How many and they're not going to be major amendments that are going to change the context of what --- What you see here and what you've got is the document of and in itself.

Q:

(inaudible - re schedule; 900 days etc.)

A:

The Proposals are due 21 September okay 20 September
And if I have anything to do with it the proposal
delivery date will not change. And if we go through
this without a hiccup and if all the money falls in
place and all the things that are outside your control
and sometimes outside of our control, my objective is
to award a design build contract on the 10th of
November. Okay. You know you get your award letter,
you've got X-days to get your performance and payment
bonds in - so from a scheduling - a notice to proceed
you're probably looking sometime in early December
2005.

Q: So 10 November award and the notice to proceed ---

A: And then the bureaucratic stuff that takes place after
that for bonds etc.

Q: This project is fully funded?

A: How do you read it? It's your interpretation. It's
clearly stated - this is what the money is and it's not
fully funded. It's subject to availability of funds in
fiscal year 06.

Q: It's not fully funded ?

A: It's not fully funded but it's a lump sum but it's going to be subject to availability of funds and that depends on the president - the budget, the signing and the whole nine-yards. If we do our thing and we're going to be postured to go and make an award on the 10th of November -

Q: (inaudible)

A:

That was in order to force you guys to look at the RFP and to start moving okay. I am going to draw a line in the sand that says 31 August - yeah 31 August is going to be the line in the sand. I am going to hold the 20th of September, unless there's catastrophic failure, the 20th of September is the date that's going to be cut in stone. That's when the Proposals are going to be due. So you guys are going to have to work, look at it, and give us your best shot. I'm not going to - I don't make schedules just for the sake of making a date and making a point out there. I'm going to stick to those dates. I'm going to have to be really, really inconvenienced why I'm going to have to move anyone of those dates.

Q: question in by the end of the month would you speculate on ---

A:

No. I don't bite - yet. Any other questions on the solicitation? Everybody understands it thoroughly 100-percent and digested it and then you walk out of here and say should've asked --- That's all right. Always submit a question up until August 31st. After that I've got to deal with Frank.

Q:Can you tell us what takes order of precedence in terms of drawings

A: It's in the contract, read it.

A:You can go in the FARS, you can go in the solicitation and it defines the clauses. I'm going to make the broad assumption that everybody in this room has done a request for proposal with the Corps of Engineers' or somewhere with the Federal Government, the process is the same. We're just better than everybody else to do that's all. We're not infallible - you see something, ask the question, write it down and we'll do our damndest to get the answer out to the community so that everybody has a level playing field. And we as a team regardless of who the successful offeror is combined with us, deliver a successful project to the customer that we all can be proud of. This is from the overall development of the installation, this MEDLOG Project as it's finally called by a lot of people or you

may see it referenced as the Joint Medical Logistic or MEDLOG for short or consolidated MEDLOG. We're the first project out of the gate basically on the big USAMRIID Program. And you know you guys all got your ears tuned to the papers and the budgets and your work and that's a tremendous program that's going to be forthcoming so we get the opportunity as a group or a team to standup the first project and to show what we can do and how we can do it. So there's a - it's a potential for future work. There's always a potential for future work. But people are going to be really really looking at us to make sure we pull this project off and do it in a cooperative partner and cooperative team approach. It isn't going to be us and us, you know we're a team - we succeed as a team - we fail as a team - we'll overcome obstacles as a team. I can't stress that more on this project. Any other alibis, complaints, issues, concerns? You don't have to address to me, anybody on the government team? What a shy group out here. Okay since nobody else is willing to step up to the plate and ask any questions - again write them down, you can send them either fax, send them electronically via email - your choice. We will evaluate all questions, determine whether or not an amendment has to be issued. This conference will be issued under an amendment. Again I can't speculate on

how many additional amendments we're going to have. Make sure that all your questions for answers are in by the 31st of August, close of business. Proposals are due the 20th - 4:00PM on the 20th of September. We'll do our technical and we'll do our cost evaluation. As Rick said once we get into it we'll have a better flavor we can do the oral presentations and we'll try to give you the greatest opportunity for planning and scheduling so that you can have your team ready to come in. We will not wait until the last day or too, we'll know well enough in advance - I'm going to say probably I guess a week - we'll have at least a week in advance to give you the opportunity to plan and assemble your team and what you want to do - and the objective is to make an award, or to be postured to make an award - that's my objective - for this project to the successful offeror on 10 November 2005.

Q: Will you be answering questions as they come in or are you going to hold them all until after the 31st?

FRANK BENVENGA, PROJECT MANAGER:

No we'll answer them as we see - you know I'm not going to wait until the 31st and then issue because people are asking these questions because they're going to be developing their technical and cost proposals. I want to be as responsive as quickly as

we can because we know you're under the gun too. So it works two ways here. All right as far the site-tour obviously we're - reference to the project site, right out front - Porter Street is right out front here. We're in this building as identified on the RFP are the areas for the storm water management on both sides of this facility. The project site is just out front here. If you want to take photographs - I got a little irritated out front because guys came in and started walking around taking photographs. And you have no idea as to whether or not you can or you can't take photographs and you were really taking a risk. Because on some installations the guy will come by and Security Provost Marshal, they won't even ask questions - they'll take your camera and then you'll have to have your boss bail you out. Okay so I don't want see that happen to anybody here. That's why I was a little irritated up front. And maybe I failed because I should have said please ask permission first or let's see how we can take the photographs.

If you want I can walk people around, we can do a little walking tour around the site and I can, if

there are any questions about what you can take pictures of and what you can't, as me.

FRANK BENVENGA, PROJECT MANAGER:

Okay, that's good. As Christy said we've got two building that are up behind the USAMRIID complex, 1423 and 1432. They are fairly easy - go out here and go up Porter to just pass the ShopIt Gas Station, make a left and they are up behind the complex of building - they kind of stand off in the far end of the installation. But the immediate project site is right in front of us. So this is a good opportunity - Ray will kind of give you a walking tour, if you want to take photographs ---

CHRISTY PISPITSOS, DESIGN TEAM LEADER:

To get to building 1423 and 1432 you actually will have to go up Sheridan ---

CPT Sean Scott:

Go right out of the parking lot here, take a left on Dolton, then take a right on Sheridan, at the very first light and follow that across then take a left . You can't make a left at the intersection of Ditto and Porter [several discussing the directions]

CHRISTY PISPITSOS, DESIGN TEAM LEADER:

And I have been pulled over before in a vehicle that went straight. We can do a caravan or a couple of different caravans.

FRANK BENVENGA, PROJECT MANAGER:

And I'll throw this out as an opportunity to show you that we're playing hardball but we've also kind of got a soft side here. If there's a need to come out for an additional site visit by any one of the five - either collectively or individually - Mike Ogden, Resident Engineer or CPT Scott -- Now can you give them your telephone number?

MIKE OGDEN, RESIDENT ENGINEER, FT. DETRICK RESIDENT OFFICE:

301-619-4029. You can ask for me or CAPT Scott.

Q: Are these going to be made available?

FRANK BENVENGA, PROJECT MANAGER:

Yeah that's going to be part of the amendment that comes out. I would ask that if you want to come out to visit the site give them at least a day notice, please don't call up in the morning and say I'd like to come out this afternoon because they're doing work over the entire installation here so their hands are - Like for them to have the opportunity to schedule - But again if you want an opportunity to come a second, or a third, or a fourth time contact Mike and his

staff and they will gladly accommodate you. Again take a look at the site, don't ask for technical interpretation of questions but you more than welcome to come out more than one time. Yeah Ray.

RAY Reher:

Ed wants me to say that the area we can't take pictures at is that area over where the other two, the buildings are because that's where the big labs are and photos cannot be taken of this area. In this area here in the main construction site there's only one thing that you can't take a picture of or have it in your picture and I'll tell you what that is when we get there.

Back to the site visit stuff. If you all think you're looking at subcontractors ____ a lot of everyday (inaudible). You all think that there's going to be significant interest, what I'm going to propose is we may just go ahead and schedule a week or two weeks from now or whatever a second site visit to allow you an opportunity to bring any of your - just want to gather some of that stuff up - might be an interest in that now we'll go ahead and just schedule a second - just a walk through - give you an opportunity in case

you have other subs or other players on the team that might want to come and take a look, as opposed to trying to do it all today. We can probably inquire about photographs and things like that. Not as big as this or not as ornate but we'll be able to do that. A quick walk through and maybe answer some specific non-technical questions and we'll give you the opportunity to walk around. We can still do a couple (inaudible). Yes schedule it? Okay what I'll do is, you have email addresses on all these - if I can get somebody to see me after just to identify who the key player would be. When we get that done I'll just go ahead and send an email out to the five players different offerors if that's okay.

FRANK BENVENGA, PROJECT MANAGER:

That's fine. That's fine. Yeah well put it in the cover of the thing. So we're probably looking two weeks out plus or minus.

Okay, I'll go ahead and schedule that. We'll put it in the amendment

FRANK BENVENGA, PROJECT MANAGER:

Jerry do you have any other - anything you want to -

JEROME RIFKIN, CONTRACTING OFFICER:

Just to reiterate - you probably detected there was some disagreement or confusion and again we'll make that clear in the result of the amendment after the transcript. We're not going to issue clarifications now with regard to source selection, with regards to orals, with regards to the proposal it is absolutely clear prior to the 20th.

FRANK BENVENGA, PROJECT MANAGER:

Christy any last parting words?

CAPT ROSS DAVIDSON, CONSTRUCTION LIAISON:

I have one other thing and that is - There is a lot of construction that's going on at the installation. And so one of the things you need to know is the solicitation does stand on it's own but at the same time there are installation rules and procedures, there are installation interferences if you will that may happen with respect to - just access and egress to the installation can be a challenge. There are other projects as you have probably seen we're reconstructing the main gate, there's going to be a project for instance to reconstruct the Old Farm Gate. All of that stuff is going to be happening sometime (inaudible) installation and a lot of competition I think for some contractors - I just want to make sure

that everybody is aware of all of that. I know there are some folks here from out of town, make sure you do your appropriate market research - is that the right term here - for access and egress and all that good stuff. Once it becomes a contract I get the opportunity to work with one of you lucky providers, offerors, then I'll be sympathetic but the answer will be no

We're all done, okay. I just wanted to hi-lite that because if we get more than one contractor Can't get in the gate - the guard won't let me in today. Thanks.

FRANK BENVENGA, PROJECT MANAGER:

Anything else? All right if you just meet out front. Ray is the tour guide. Everybody sign in? All right give us about five minutes.

AJOURNED

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

1. **Response to the following RFI's is "Bid as Stated in the RFP"**
 - a. Section 1011 Page 71 Paragraph 10.3.1.1: The design criteria states to use 2 gas fired hot water boilers, can steam from the Post overhead or underground distribution system be used? Are drawings available showing the distribution, size and capacity of the steam and condensate piping at the proposed site?
 - b. Section 1011 Page 75 Paragraph 10.3.4.2: The design criteria states a minimum of two AHU per floor, can multiple units be headered together in a penthouse to supply each floor?
 - c. Section 1011 Page 82 Paragraph 11.4: What is the design watts/square foot for the UPS serving Computer Server Room? What is the size of the Computer Server Room? What is the design watts/square foot for the Joint Operation Center? Is 15 minute battery back-up for the UPS acceptable?
 - d. Section 1011 Page 10 Paragraph 3.7.1.1 and Sheet No. C-2 Site Constraints: The text in Paragraph 3.7.1.1 states "The building should be sited so that the primary face of the building is towards the southeast (Porter Street), the east (existing Neiman Street), or a combination." Sheet No. C-2 includes a note with arrows at the southwest corner of the site "Face of Building/Main Entrance Options," which would have the entrance facing the proposed industrial zone, please clarify which is the design intent?
 - e. After preliminary site review of our Medical Logistics Facility, the basic 60% parking requirements (560 spaces) will barely fit on the existing site within the boundaries, at grade, without the building footprint. In order to achieve the 75% (633 spaces) of the betterment, we would need to use the site areas within the hatched area labeled "future parking expansion". Are we to propose structured parking, or reduce the count, or expand the site boundaries?
 - f. What permits are required for on-base construction?
 - g. For efficient HVAC operations, who will have control over operable windows?
 - h. The Evaluation Process included with Amendment #003 identifies factors that will be included in the evaluation. Systems Furniture layouts are not included in the factors for evaluation. Please confirm that systems furniture layouts are not a required part of the Phase 2 submission.
 - i. In Chapter 11 of Section 1011 (page 80) there is a requirement for the new overhead power lines to be "sized to accommodate the new Joint Medical Logistics Center project plus 25% spare capacity," and a requirement that states "Transformers shall include a spare capacity of a minimum 25% for future

growth." Please confirm that this 25% spare capacity for future growth is within the JMLC

- j. The RFP states that "building plans shall delineate an area for 25% building expansion while not modifying the design to accommodate this expansion." Shall the central mechanical room and cooling tower yard be laid out spatially to accommodate adding 25% extra capacity in the future by way of added equipment at some future date (i.e. chillers, pumps, cooling towers...)
- k. The RFP states that cooling tower fans are to be two-speed. Please verify that variable speed cooling tower fans are an acceptable alternate
- l. Is there any further information available on the quality level of the proposed furniture?
- m. There is an apparent conflict in the language concerning allowable rooftop equipment between sections 6.8.2 (disallowing virtually all equipment) and 10.1.3.2 (allowing some items), please clarify
- n. Per RFP Section 1011 Paragraph 10.3.4.7 packaged DX cooling units are to be used for spaces requiring 24 hour air conditioning. DX piping has a maximum running distance of approximately 100 ft (including equivalent feet for piping elbows). Due to the size of the building many units would have piping runs beyond 100 ft in length. Please confirm that using a glycol/water system with Drycoolers (which does not have the 100 ft piping run limitation) is an acceptable alternate to the DX coils and condensers.
- o. The method for accommodating Mechanical spaces, electrical spaces and telecommunication spaces does not appear to be addressed in the Organizational Diagram or the Program for Design. Please confirm that these spaces will be addressed as described in USACE reference TI-800-1.
- p. The Program Design, the Space Requirement Diagram and the Adjacency Diagram list a series of "Ancillary Areas," However, where these areas should be located throughout the building is not indicated. Please clarify the adjacency requirements for the conference rooms listed as Ancillary Areas.
- q. Table 3-1 in the Ft Detrick IDG lists "stucco" a possible exterior wall material along with brick, where as Section 1011, page 35, paragraph 6.9.6 indicates "Exterior Insulation Finish Systems (EIFS) Exterior insulation finish systems shall not be used.". Should we conclude that a full brick building with concrete or cast stone accent banding is the only acceptable exterior materials?

2. **Response to the following RFI's is "Information will be provided by amendment"**
- a. Section 1011 Page 32 Paragraph 6.5: For progressive collapse avoidance design, a minimum Level of Protection is required as a starting point to use in conjunction with UFC 4-010-01. The levels of protection are described in Tables 2-1 and 2-2 of UFC 4-010-01. Which level is applicable?
 - b. Appendix E Program for Design: In the "Program for Design" section of the Design Criteria and Specifications the right hand column is cut off, is information missing?
 - c. Appendix H Interior Design Presentation Format: In the "Project Rooms" section of the Design Criteria and Specifications the right hand column is cut off, is information missing?
 - d. In the "Program for Design" section of the Design Criteria and Specifications the right hand column is cut off, is information missing?
 - e. Will existing buildings to be demolished in both the base bid and option be emptied of all equipment and furniture or is the contractor to salvage remaining equipment/furniture?
 - f. Are existing above ground site utilities (i.e. light poles, electrical transformers, antennas {near building 1423}) required to be salvaged, or simply demolished?
 - g. The program components shown on the Drawing titled Joint Medical Logistics Center Space Requirements and Organization Diagram includes AFMSA/SGPX with the allocated SF noted as 1060. Adding the components listed totals only 760 SF. The Program for Design Appendix E has the total area for AFMSA/SGPX listed as 760 SF, please confirm the 760 SF is correct.
 - h. The program components shown on the Drawing titled Joint Medical Logistics Center Space Requirements and Organization Diagram includes NMLC with the allocated SF noted as 14,470 SF. Adding the components listed totals only 14,610 SF. The Program for Design Appendix E has the total area for AFMSA/SGPX listed as 14,410 SF, but does not include Reception at 200 SF under the Command Group, please clarify
 - i. The program components shown on the Drawing titled Joint Medical Logistics Center Space Requirements and Organization Diagram includes USAMMA with the allocated SF noted as 23,225 SF. Adding the components listed totals only 19,545 SF. The Program for Design Appendix E has the total area for AFMSA/SGPX listed as 13,615 + 6340 for a total of 19,955 SF. Comparing the spaces listed on the Drawing with the Program for Design the Union Rep Office is listed as 90 SF in the Program for Design and 100 SF on the drawing, also the

drawing includes 5 cubicles at 60 SF for 300 SF for the Business Support and the Program for Design lists 12 cubicles at 60 SF for 720 SF for Business Support, please clarify

- j. Please confirm is all the spaces under JMLC shown on the Drawing titled Joint Medical Logistics Center Space Requirements and Organization Diagram are excluded from the initial program. These would include the Command Group, J-1 Personnel, J-3 Operations, J-4 Logistics, and J-5 Civil-Military Ops
- k. The program components shown on the Drawing titled Joint Medical Logistics Center Space Requirements and Organization Diagram includes the DSC/Swing Ops Room at 120 SF. The Program for Design, Appendix E, lists DSCP Operations Room at 180 SF. Are these the same spaces? If so, which area is correct?
- l. The program components shown on the Drawing titled Joint Medical Logistics Center Space Requirements and Organization Diagram includes IT Closets – 950 SF under Joint Areas, Auxiliary Areas. The Program for Design, Appendix E, lists IT Closets at 10 at 110 SF each for a total of 1100 SF, please clarify which is correct?
- m. The program components shown on the Drawing titled Joint Medical Logistics Center Space Requirements and Organization Diagram includes Janitors Closets – 380 SF under Joint Areas, Auxiliary Areas. The Program for Design, Appendix E, lists Janitors Closets at 10 at 40 SF each for a total of 400 SF, please clarify which is correct?
- n. One of the betterments is to change 90 SF 02A open office cubicles to hardwall 100 SF offices, on Page 6 of the Program for Design line # 040480 the 02A space is 120 SF, is that correct and the betterment would reduce it to 100 SF? Also applies to lines # 040724, 040726, 040728, 040730, and 040732.
- o. Is there a certification process associated with SpiRiT, or is the goal just to achieve the required 35-49 points for a Silver rating?
- p. Section 1011 Page 5 Paragraph 1.5 and Appendix E Program for Design: One of the betterments is to change 90 SF 02A open office cubicles to hardwall 100 SF offices, on Page 6 of the Program for Design line # 040480 the 02A space is 120 SF, is that correct and the betterment would reduce it to 100 SF? Also applies to lines # 040724, 040726, 040728, 040730, 040732
- q. Section 1011 Page 5 Paragraph 1.5, Appendix E Program for Design and Space Requirements and Organization Diagram: The betterment to change 90 SF 02A open office cubicles to hardwall 100 SF offices adds a net area of 930 SF. $930 \text{ SF} / 10 \text{ SF per office} = 93 \text{ 02A open office cubicles}$. The Program for Design identifies 87 02A units including the 6 identified at 120 SF, the Space

Requirements and Organization Diagram indicates 84 90 SF Cubicles, please clarify.

- r. Section 1011 Page 5 Paragraph 1.5, Appendix E Program for Design and Space Requirements and Organization Diagram: The betterment to change 120 SF 02B open office cubicles to hardwall 150 SF offices adds a net area of 330 SF. 330 SF/30 SF per office = 11 02B open office cubicles. The Program for Design identifies 9 02B units, and the Space Requirements and Organization Diagram indicates 16 120 SF Cubicles, please clarify.
- s. Please confirm that the spaces listed as future on the Organization Diagram (JMLC Command Group, J-1, J-3, J-4, J-5), **THOUGHT THEY ARE SHOWN IN THE** Program for Design, are to be part of the future 25% expansion.
 - a.) The JMLC Command Group is shown to have the Deputy Commander's Office at 300-SF on the Organizational Diagram, but is shown to have the Commanders Office at 200-SF in the Program for Design. Please confirm that the Deputy Commander's Office at 200-SF is correct.
 - b.) The JMLC Command Group is shown to have the SG Office at 100-SF on the Organization Diagram, but is shown to have the SG's Office at 150-SF in the Program for Design. Please confirm that the SG's Office at 100-SF is correct.
- t. Several spaces are shown on the organizational diagram, but not included in the Program for Design. Please confirm that the areas indicated in the Organizational Diagram should be used for DMSB HQ and Administrative Division, Clinical Division, Pharmaceutical Division, Readiness and Support Division, Dental Division, and O.T.&E Division or provide the program Areas.
- u. The USAMMA Business Support Office is shown to have 5-cubicles at 300-SF (total) on the Organization Diagram, but is shown to have 5-cubicles at 720-SF (total) in the Program for Design. Please confirm that 5-cubicles at 300-SF (Total) is correct.
- v. The USAMMA Common Area is shown to have the Union Representative Office at 100-SF on the Organization Diagram, but is shown to have the Union Representative Office at 90-SF in the Program for Design. Please confirm that Union Representative Office at 100-SF is correct
- w. The JMLFDC Office of Director is shown to have 5-cubicles at 300-SF (total) on the Organization Diagram, but is shown to have 5-cubicles at 360-SF (total) in the Program for Design. Please confirm that 5-cubicles at 300-SF (Total) is correct.
- x. The JMLFDC, Product Management Division is shown to have 21-cubicles at 1,260-SF (total) on the Organizational Diagram, but is shown to have 21-cubicles

at 2,100-SF (total) in the Program for Design. Please confirm that 21 cubicles at 1,260-SF (total) is correct

- y. The JMLFDC, Technical Support Division is shown to have 1-private Office at 100-SF (total) on the Organizational Diagram that is missing from the Program for Design. Please confirm that this private office is a required program space
- z. The NMLC Command Group is shown to have 1-reception area at 200-SF (total) on the Organizational Diagram that is missing from the Program for Design. Please confirm that this reception area is a required program space.
- aa. The NMLC Administration Directorate is shown to have 1-Director's Office at 100-SF (total) on the Organizational Diagram, but is shown to have 1-Director's Office at 150-SF (total) in the Program for Design. Please confirm that one (1) Director's Office at 100-SF (total) is correct.
- bb. The NMLC, Medical Consumable Support is shown to have 1-Director's Office at 150-SF (total) on the Organizational Diagram, but is shown to have 1-Director's Office at 100-SF (total) in the Program for Design. Please confirm that 1-Director's Office at 150-SF (total) is correct.
- cc. The AFMLO, IT Management is shown to have 5-cubicles at 300-SF (total) on the Organizational Diagram that is missing from the Program for Design. Please confirm that these cubicles are required program spaces
- dd. Please confirm that the AFMSA/SGPX, Chief's Office and associated 7-cubicles shown on the Organizational Diagram the same as the AFMSA/SGPX WarMed shown on the Adjacency Diagram
- ee. The USMC ILIDT on the Adjacency Diagram indicates ILIDT/GP and the ILIDT/CDR that are at variance with the Organizational Diagram and the Program for Design, which indicates a Chief's Office, Deputy Cubicle and 11-cubicles. How are the USMC ILIT spaces correlated between the Organizational Diagram and the Adjacency Diagram?
- ff. Please confirm that the USMC ILIDT, General Storage indicated on the Organizational Diagram and the Program for Design is the same as the USMC ILIDT, Small Conference Room indicated on the Adjacency Diagram.
- gg. The Joint Areas, JSOC is shown to have the DSCP/Swing Operations Room at 120-SF on the Organizational Diagram, but is shown to have 180-SF in the Program for Design; in addition this space is missing from the Adjacency Diagram. Please confirm that the DSCP/Swing Operations Room should have 120-SF. Please indicate where this space should be in the Adjacency Diagram .

- hh. The Joint Areas, JSOC is shown to have 1-Secure Small Conference Room at 110-SF (total) on the Organizational Diagram and the Program for Design, but is shown to have 2- Secure Small Conference Rooms in the Adjacency Diagram. Please confirm that 1-Secure Small Conference Room at 110-SF (total) is correct.
- ii. The Joint Areas, Ancillary Areas is shown to have an unknown number of IT Closets at 950-SF (total) on the Organizational Diagram, but is shown to have 10-IT Closets at 1,100-SF (total) in the Program for Design. Please confirm that 10-IT Closets at 950-SF (total) is correct
- jj. The Joint Areas, Ancillary Areas is shown to have an unknown number of Janitor's Closets at 380-SF (total) on the Organizational Diagram, but is shown to have 10-Janitor's Closets at 400-SF (total) in the Program for Design. Please confirm that 10-Janitor's Closets at 380-SF (total) is correct
- kk. Drawing C-2 shows the 82' force protection building offset from Porter St adjacent to the edge of Hamilton Street. However, if Hamilton Street is to remain open to provide access to Buildings 122 and 123 from Porter via Schertz St, it should have its own 82' force protection offset. Please confirm that Hamilton Street is to remain open from Schertz Street and that it will have a corresponding 82' force protection offset.
- ll. Drawing C-1 shows the primary face of the building towards Miller Drive and Building 199. Drawing C-2 and Section 1011, paragraph 3.7.11 have the primary building face towards Neiman Street, Porter Street, or a combination. Please confirm that the primary building face is to be located per drawing C-2 and section 1011.
- mm. Drawing C-1 has shows the new Medlog facility being located at the northwest corner of the site (at intersection of the 82' offset from Miller Drive and the 33' offset from Building 199 access drive). However, drawing C-2 does not show this requirement. Please confirm that it is not a requirement for the proposed building corner to be located at the intersection of the offsets from Miller Drive and Building 199 access road.
- nn. Project Rooms Report, Room Code 0FA03, contains requirements for systems furniture workstations at 72 inches wide, each. The Program For Design gives a unit area of 60 (square feet) for RM (type) 0FA03. Please confirm the intention that the space given for each workstation is inclusive of the area for the systems furniture and circulation, and that the length of the workstation is 84 inches.
- oo. For the DSCP, the Program for Design indicates two (2) Private Offices at 90 SF= 180 net SF; the Organizational Diagram indicates two (2) cubicles at 180 SF. Please confirm that these are the same spaces and that the requirements shown in the PFD are to be followed for the designation of these spaces.

- pp. The Program Design, shows DSCP, Open Office room quantity 12, unit area = 0, net area = 0; the Organizational Diagram does not show this space. Please confirm that there is no space allocated for the DSCP Open Office.
- qq. Betterments are shown in the Design Criteria and Specifications, Section 1011, paragraph 1.5. Optional Spaces are shown on the Space Requirements and Organization Diagram. Betterments are not shown on the Space Requirements and Organization Diagram. Please confirm that the Optional Spaces are intended to be the same as the Betterments and that the requirements for the Betterments are to be followed. What are the adjacency requirements for the Betterments?
- rr. The Space Requirements and Organization Diagram, Optional Spaces, identifies the following:
Restore Private Offices – 930 SF
Restore Private Offices – 330 SF
Restore Private Offices (6MLMC) – 400 SF
RFP Design Criteria, Section 1011, paragraph 1.5 – Betterments, identifies the following:
Change 02A Offices to Hard Wall Offices, allowance per space 100 SF (number of spaces is not given)
Change 02B Offices to Hard Wall Offices, allowance per space 150 SF (number of spaces is not given)
Is there a correlation between the requirements for “Restore Private Offices” and “Change 02A Offices...– Change 02B Offices...”?
Which offices are affected by these requirements?
- ss. Amendment 003, section 00100, Para. 8.2.2.2, 8.2.2.3, 8.2.2.4 references Areas In Appendix B, Figures 2-5, 2-6, 2-8. The referenced document does not appear to be in the RFP. Please provide the referenced Figures.
- tt. What are the AT/FP designations of the other buildings in the vicinity of project site? Specifically: S-521, T-700, 122, & 123. Are they Primary Gathering, Inhabited, or Uninhabited. This will impact our parking layout (how close we can park to them).
- uu. Are we to assume we have to maintain connections to existing side streets, alleys, parking areas, etc., with the new layout? For example, Hamilton Street appears to be used as a one way street with parking being accessed off of Schertz Street. Also, do we have to provide access to the gravel parking area near building T-700 from the new parking lot? Currently it is accessed by Scott Street, which will likely be eliminated as a thru street.
- vv. Appendix F - Space Requirements and Organization Diagram: Each group has a space use and area listed which differs from the Design program dated July 22, in the Design Criteria. Please clarify which program and space listing is to be followed.

ww. Appendix F - Space Requirements and Adjacency Diagram: General Note 1 indicates a solid line between functions as "adjacent to" and a dashed line as "near to". Requesting clarification or definition to these terms. If "adjacent to" is defined as directly neighboring and/or on the same floor, graphically 75,000 of 83,000 program space will need to be on one level. Please clarify relationships and adjacencies.

xx. Program for design, DSCP program line 040211 "Open Office" indicates a staff level of 12 (over ½ the people in the department) without any assigned unit area. Please clarify staffing or building area requirement.

3. The following RFI's have a specific response.

- a. In section 1011-page 76 paragraph 10.3.5.2 it states that the control system shall be an open implementation of LonWorks that allows access to all data and monitoring. Will an existing Post system be accessing the data? If so what system? **There is no existing Post system.**
- b. Amendment 0005 Paragraph 6.5 and Amendment 0005 Paragraph 8: Paragraph 6.5 limits Technical Qualifications to 50 pages, is the Executive Summary identified in Paragraph 8 included in the 50 pages? **No, it is not included in the 50 page limit**
- c. Amendment 3 – 6.5. Page Limits: Does the 50 page limit on the technical narrative include the executive summary? **No, it is not included.**
- d. In the SpiRiT V1.4.1 Evaluation, the Materials and Resources credit 2 Construction Waste Management and Indoor Environmental Quality credit 4 – Low-Emitting Materials are noted "N/A" in the "Points Available" column. Does this preclude the contractor from obtaining any point from this credit (i.e. IEQ Credit 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5) or just the initial credit noted "N/A"? **The N/A is to mark a category title. Points are obtained from the columns listed below the title block.**
- e. Does Factor 3 Compliance in the Technical Proposal count against the 50 page maximum limit? **No, it does not count towards the 50 page maximum.**
- f. The 6MLMC small motor pool appears on the Adjacency Diagram, but not in the Organizational Diagram or Program for Design. Please confirm that the small motor pool is not a required program space. **PFD related to interior spaces only.**
- g. Please confirm the following with regard to the Appendix G - Project Rooms Report:

Line #: 040108 Room Code: OFA03 Reception Area. Number of Persons is shown as 0 for this area in the Program for Design. Should it be 1 person?

Line #: 040306 Room Code: OFA02 Admin Officer. Number of Persons is shown as 0 for this area in the Program for Design. Should it be 1 person?

Line #: 040314 Room Code: RECP1 Reception. Number of Persons is shown as 0 for this area in the Program for Design. Should it be 1 person?

Line #: 040410 Room Code: RECP1 Reception. Number of Persons is shown as 0 for this area in the Program for Design. Should it be 1 person?

Line #: 040412 Room Code: OFA03 Open Office. Number of Persons is shown as 0 for this area in the Program for Design. Should it be 4 persons?

Line #: 040606 Room Code: RECP1 Reception Area. Number of Persons is shown as 0 for this area in the Program for Design. Should it be 1 person?

Line #: 040710 Room Code: RECP1 Reception Area. Number of Persons is shown as 0 for this area in the Program for Design. Should it be 1 person?

Line #: 040713 Room Code: OFA03 Open Office. Number of Persons is shown as 0 for this area in the Program for Design. Should it be 4 persons?

Line #: 040723 Room Code: RECP1 Reception Area. Number of Persons is shown as 0 for this area in the Program for Design. Should it be 1 person?

Line #: 040784 Room Code: CLR01 Classroom. Number of Persons is shown as 0 for this area in the Program for Design. Should it be more people? Nomenclature states that only 2 conference chairs and 1 swivel chair are needed in this 600 sq ft area. Are more chairs/desks needed?

Line #: 040907 Room Code: RECP1 Reception Area. Number of Persons is shown as 0 for this area in the Program for Design. Should it be 1 person?

Line #: 040910 Room Code: OFA03 Open Office. Number of Persons is shown as 0 for this area in the Program for Design. Should it be 4 persons?

Line #: 040959 Room Code: OFA02 Vet's Affairs SS Div. more people? If so how many? Room Area is shown as 0 sq ft in both the Rooms Report and the Program for Design. Is this room needed, and if so what should the sq ft be?

Line#: 041010 Room Code: CMP02 Joint Sipernet Room. Number of Persons is shown as 0 for this area in the Program for Design. Should there be more people?

Line #: 040525 Room Code: CLR01 Classroom. Number of Persons is shown as 0 for this area in the Program for Design. Should it show more people? Nomenclature states that only 2 conference chairs and 1 swivel chair are needed in this 1200 sq ft area. Are more chairs/desks needed? **PFD/Project Rooms Report contains information on military personnel only. Contractors are not counted in the PFD or Project Rooms Report. The furniture shall be provided for these rooms per RFP and people counted when sizing building systems per RFP.**

- h. An Arms Vault is described in RFP Section 1011,.6.10.9, with a requirement to design and construct in accordance with Army Regulation 190-11 (AR 190-11). A Unit Arms Room is listed in the Program For Design under 6MLMC. Please confirm that these are the same space. Also confirm the following: Category II arms are to be stored in this room, and the requirements of AR 190-11 Appendix G apply to the design and construction of this room. **Yes, they are the same area.**

- i. Ft. Detrick Design Guidelines indicate parking stall size as 9'x19'. RFP under Section 1011, 3.7.2.2 indicates 9'x18'. Which should be followed? **Requirement of Section 1011 govern.**
- j. Can we place additional parking, if necessary, in any of the stormwater management areas identified for this project if the area is not needed for SWM purposes? **No, the SWM areas can not be used for additional parking areas.**